1	MINUTE	S
2	OF THE MEETIN	1G
3	OF THE	
4	PUBLIC HEARIN	G
5	INCORPORATED VILLAGE C	F MALVERNE
6	June 18, 202	5
7	7:15 p.m.	
8		
9	PRESENT:	
10	TIMOTHY H. SULLIVAN,	MAYOR
11	SCOTT EDWARDS,	DEPUTY MAYOR
12	LORI A. LANG,	TRUSTEE
13	CARL J. PRIZZI,	TRUSTEE
14	MEGAN O'ROURKE-SCHUTTA,	TRUSTEE
15		
16		
17	Also Present:	
18	Peter Trentacoste - Village	e Attorney
19	Jill Valli - Village Clerk	
20	Louis Santora - Building S	uperintendant
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Good evening,
2	everyone. And welcome to the June 18, 2025
3	Special Use Public Hearing of the Incorporated
4	Village of Malverne.
5	If you could please stand for the
6	Pledge of Allegiance.
7	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance
8	was recited.)
9	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Thank you.
10	Madam Clerk, if you could read the
11	legal notice please.
12	MS. VALLI: Notice is hereby given that
13	the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated
14	Village of Malverne will hold a Public Hearing
15	at Malverne Village Hall, 99 Church Street,
16	Malverne, New York 11565 on Wednesday, June 18,
17	2025 at 7:15 p.m. to hear the following cases,
18	Malverne Properties LLC, 61 Church Street,
19	Business District, Building Permit Application
20	2024-0115, proposes to demolish existing
21	one-story structure and construct 11,118 total
22	square foot three-story, 12 unit multi-family
23	dwelling over basement. Kevin Gaffney, 44
24	Nassau Boulevard, Residential B District,
25	Building Permit Application Number 2025-0223,

1	proposes to construct a second kitchen in new
2	second-floor addition within existing
3	single-family dwelling.
4	All interested parties should appear at
5	the above time and place.
6	By order of the Malverne Board of
7	Trustees, Incorporated Village of Malverne,
8	Jill Valli, Village Clerk, dated May 22, 2025.
9	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Thank you.
10	I want to call the first case, if we
11	could hear from the applicant for 61 Church
12	Street please.
13	MR. TRENTACOSTE: Mayor, just before we
14	start, some housekeeping for the Board and for
15	the Public.
16	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Village Attorney,
17	thank you.
18	MR. TRENTACOSTE: There is a sign-in
19	sheet, if anyone intends to speak tonight we
20	ask that you just sign in. Everyone here will
21	be afforded the opportunity to be heard on both
22	applications. If you could sign in we will
23	limit the first round of comments to
24	three minutes, we will time it and give you a
25	one-minute warning to let you know there is

one minute remaining. After we exhaust the room, if anyone feels the need that they have to come back up to ask another or reiterate a point we will then recirculate and go back to the first person who spoke.

We have a court reporter here tonight, and we have the best court reporter here in all of New York State. In order for her to do her job as well as she does, she needs everyone to come to the podium, state their name, their address, speak slowly, speak clearly, and most importantly, one at a time. That way we would have a clear record for tonight's proceeding.

One final point, the application point we've broken down to basically three sections, the first you will hear from the applicant, the Board will then ask questions of the applicant, after the Board is done asking questions the public will be invited, if they have questions or comments, that will be their opportunity to come up and speak.

We ask that all of those questions or comments be directed towards the Board. We will ask the applicant and his representatives to sit, take notes, and at the end of all the

1	questions have them come back up and answer
2	each one individually.
3	With that, Mayor, that's all the
4	housekeeping matters.
5	I would just ask, for a matter of the
6	record, we are going to incorporate into this
7	proceeding the Zoning Board application that
8	was heard before the Zoning Board for 61
9	Church, as well as the complete Building
10	Department file for this application.
11	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Great. Thanks very
12	much.
13	MR. TRENTACOSTE: Thank you.
14	MR. WAGNER: Good evening, Mr. Mayor.
15	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Good evening.
16	MR. WAGNER: Fellow Trustees, Members
17	of the Board of Trustees, my name is Chris
18	Wagner, I am an attorney with Humes & Wagner,
19	147 Forest Avenue, Locust Valley, New York.
20	I am here tonight representing Malverne
21	Properties and the managing member Mr. Peter
22	Rossi, who is the owner of 61 Church Street.
23	As the public notice has articulated,
24	we are here tonight seeking as part of the
25	various aspects of approval required from the

Village to demolish the old dilapidated

building, which you see on the left of my

poster board, and a construction of a beautiful

new three-story, multi-family dwelling, 12 unit

multi-family dwelling.

As the Village Attorney previously mentioned, we appeared before the Village

Zoning Board of Appeals on two separate occasions, and we were fortunate enough to obtain approval for six of the seven variances. Our variance for maximum height was denied, we were seeking a height of 36 and a quarter feet when only 35 feet is required, that was denied, but otherwise our variances were granted, which included a parking variance, but that's the Zoning Board.

So why are we here tonight? We are here tonight pursuant to two sections of the Village's Code, Section 600-2.6 and 600-4.3. We are seeking a special use approval as well as a waiver of parking requirements.

And before I get into the specifics of that, I would just like to tell you a little about my client's proposed project, which we view as a significant improvement to Church

1 Street, to the Business District and to the 2 Village as a whole.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The subject building shown there on the left was built 70 years ago, 1954, my client purchased the property in 2022. Upon information, it was originally used for a variety of commercial purposes. At the time that my client purchased it it was being used as some type of passive storage facility which added no real value to the Village. It has essentially sat vacant and abandoned for several years.

> As you can see in the pictures it is in poor condition and has certainly reached the end of its functional life. I don't think that anyone could object that this building is somewhat of an eyesore and the Village would benefit from an upgrade.

Particularly where this building sits, it sits in a prominent location within the Village, one could argue the heart of the Village.

This building never really had much of an identity, functional identity or aesthetic identity. That's where my client comes in, he

1	wants to give this property an identity, to
2	give it both function and aesthetic value.
3	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Mr. Wagner, we have
4	all these pictures in front of us. It might be
5	helpful if the general public gets to see it.
6	Lou, would you mind turning it around?
7	MR. SANTORA: Sure.
8	MAYOR SULLIVAN: I'm sure people are
9	curious to see what the applicant is referring
10	to, if they didn't know already, that might be
11	more helpful to the public.
12	MR. WAGNER: Absolutely.
13	Who is my client? My client,
14	Mr. Rossi, is a 30-year resident of the
15	Village, he is a current resident of the
16	Village, he has strong ties to the Village, he
17	lives here, he isn't going anywhere. This
18	isn't something that's being done on spec, this
19	isn't a developer from New York City, not that
20	there is anything wrong with that, but this is
21	a resident of the Village who is committed to
22	the Village who is enhancing the quality of
23	life within the Village.
24	So at this time I would like to touch
25	upon the two requested aspects of relief we are

1	seeking. Again, the special use approval for
2	the construction and use of a multi-family
3	dwelling in the Business District, and
4	secondarily, a waiver of the parking
5	requirements because we don't have any
6	off-street parking on-site, and we are within a
7	municipal lot, within 300 feet of the municipal
8	lot.
9	With your permission I would like to
10	address the request for the special use
11	approval first.
12	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Please do so.
13	MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
14	Section 600-2.6 of your Code lists
15	various permitted uses within the Business
16	District. My client's property is located
17	within the Business District, and I think
18	that's important to recognize. We are not
19	proposing this multi-family dwelling in the
20	Residential District or in the middle of a
21	residential area surrounded by single-family
22	homes. We are in the Business District which
23	is a mixed-use district. And as your Code
24	says, this is a permitted use within the
25	Business District subject to obtaining approval

1	from	your	Board.

So it's interesting, some of the other uses permitted pursuant to a special use permit within the Village, multi-family dwelling, gas filling station or public garage, undertaking or embalming, dry cleaning, public parking space, trailer camps, stores or shops for the sale of food or drink, used car lots, theater, department stores, supermarkets, just to name a few.

So I would argue we are a far less intense use within your Business District, and far less impact on the surrounding community as a low impact multi-family dwelling, we are a residence, and we are similar to some of our direct neighbors.

There are standards within your Code, and I would like to briefly touch upon them if that's okay. 600-5.2 lays out the standards for your review and consideration when considering an application such as ours.

The first standard, there are nine,

I'll try and be quick. The purposes of zoning
set forth in the New York State Village Law.

25 As mentioned earlier, and I will

1 discuss in greater detail, we were fortunate 2 enough to attain the necessary Zoning 3 variances, six of the seven from the Zoning Board, and my client is going to redesign the 5 height so the building will comply with the height with the 35 foot mark. 6 7 Number two, that the proposed use is of 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

such character, size, location, design and site layout so as to be appropriate to and in harmony with the surrounding properties, the neighborhood and the Village.

Given our location on Church Street, we submit that we are entirely in harmony with our surrounding neighborhood. There are various businesses up and down the street, and more relevant, there are various multi-family dwellings in our immediate vicinity, including a nearly identical comparable 12 unit multi-family dwelling at 47 Church Street, just a few doors down from us, so there is precedent in this area.

Number three, that the proposed use or will be -- excuse me. That the proposed use is or will be necessary or desirable to the neighborhood or the Village in that it will

provide a service, facility or convenience or otherwise contribute to the proper growth and development of the community and to its general welfare.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That kind of dovetails into our target demographic. My client's target demographic is kind of two numbers of folks, empty nesters, people who have lived in Malverne for a long period of time and are no longer interested in the upkeep of a home, looking to downsize, and the goal here is to provide them with a safe and comfortable place to live within the Village so that they can stay close to their friends and family. Also we are looking to attract young professionals who haven't yet saved enough money to buy a house on Long Island, no secret it can be expensive, so they might be working and commuting into the City, saving their money, in the hopes of maybe buying a house in Malverne or in the vicinity, this is their starting point. So we believe that this provides a very useful purpose and will be well received.

We also feel that it's superior to any as-of-right alternative within the Business

1	District, which could result in the building
2	staying as-is, renting out to a contractor or
3	plumber, vans, trucks coming in and out from
4	the site throughout the day.
5	Number four, that the proposed use
6	shall not be hazardous, inconvenient,
7	conflicting or incongruous to the surroundings,
8	neighborhood or Village by reason of excessive
9	traffic, assembly of persons or vehicles,
10	proximity to normal travel routes or
11	congregation of children or pedestrians.
12	Again, I would submit that given our
13	location on Church Street, we are in harmony
14	with our surroundings, this isn't Wright or
15	Johnson Avenue.
16	I give credit to the Zoning Code, and
17	it's smart zoning, this is a Business District,
18	it contemplates many various and mixed uses, we
19	will be in addition to that.
20	Similar to other buildings in our
21	immediate vicinity, we will be a low density
22	multi-family dwelling.
23	Number five, that the location and size
24	of the use, the nature and intensity of the
25	operations involved or conducted in connection

with it, its site layout and its relation to

streets giving access to it will not be

hazardous or inconvenient to the residential

character of the neighborhood or conflict with

the normal traffic on the residential streets.

We are a residential use, we are not a business use, we are in line with our surrounding neighbors. We don't have any operations per se, Code talks about operations and it might contemplate other types of uses. But what's our operation? Our operation is people coming and going from their homes on a daily basis. So we submit that we are in harmony with some of our immediate neighbors and with the neighborhood as a whole.

Number six, that the proposed use, the site layout, location, heights, signs, walls, fences and landscaping will not hinder or discourage the appropriate use and development of adjacent uses or impair the value thereof.

Regarding value, we would argue that given the current state of the property, our proposed use will be a great improvement to the area and the surrounding values. I think most of the other aspects of this condition are

largely applicable to our multi-family dwelling as there are no signs or walls or fences to speak of. And, if we are fortunate enough to secure approval from your Board, we will be back at some point for strictly architectural review, so we'll get into more of the aesthetics as well, I have to think of the design of the building. 

Number seven, that the proposed use, site layout, location, size or operation will not be objectionable to nearby dwellings by reason of noise, lights or impacts.

Again, what are we proposing? We are proposing a residence. Big fan of bars and restaurants and pizza places myself, take the kids to the ice cream shop, but we are not any of those things that would generate people coming and going at different points of the day, congregation of people. Our target demographic of empty nesters and young professionals coming and going to work and retirees looking for peace and quiet in their new homes.

Number eight, that the proposed use, location, size, site layout and nature of use

will be a harmonious part of the district in which it is to be situated.

Again, we are seeking approval for a modest, low impact multi-family dwelling. And I point to 47 Church Street as proof that we fit, that this type of use fits in this location, that it works in the neighborhood and there is a need for it.

Finally, number nine, that the location -- it's a long one, forgive me. That the location and size of the use, the nature and intensity of the operations in or conducted in connection with its site layout and its relation to streets giving access to it will be such that vehicular traffic will not be more hazardous than the normal traffic of the district, taking into account such factors as street intersections, traffic flow, sight distances and pedestrian traffic.

Again, there is that word operations, we don't have any operations to speak of, there is no intensity of any operations. We are a residence and we are a low impact residence.

We are not the Avalon, we are not the Feather

Factory by the Lynbrook train station, we are a

1 low density, 12 units, nine one-bedroom, one
2 studio, and two bedrooms.

And furthermore, regarding congestion, one of our weaknesses of our application is that we don't provide any on-site parking, but I could argue that that is somewhat of an asset when considering this one particular factor.

The residents of our multi-family dwelling will be parking their cars at various locations, will be spread out, I will get into that in a moment. But, you know, it's not the Pilates studio or other places where cars are coming and going and idling and waiting to pick people up, it's residents parking their cars, walking to their homes and settling, whatever they do, going about their daily lives in their own home.

So regarding parking, I think that kind of dovetails into the second aspect of our requested relief, so I would like to speak to that as well.

Section 600-4.3 of your Code states that, municipal parking within 300 feet of the building may be used to satisfy all or part of the parking requirements, subject to the

1	approval of the Board of Trustees. Before
2	approving any waiver of parking requirements,
3	your Board shall find that such waiver will not
4	cause undue congestion on adjoining streets,
5	will not limit the accessibility of the
6	property for fire and police protection, and
7	will not be detrimental to the neighborhood.
8	One of the variances that I mentioned
9	that we previously obtained from the Zoning
10	Board was the parking variance. Your Code
11	says has a formula, and for the number of
12	units that we are proposing that we have that
13	it's incumbent upon us to provide 19 parking
14	spaces.
15	We actually think given our target
16	demographic of young professionals, our
17	multi-family dwelling will generate maybe
18	closer to 12 cars. Guess what your Code says?
19	19. So we have to comply with that. And our
20	multi-family dwelling does not provide any
21	on-site parking.
22	We were fortunate enough to obtain a
23	favorable decision and a variance from the
24	Zoning Board on this issue.

So now we are here before your Board

and somewhat overlapping or concurrent
jurisdiction as some of the parking that we
offer or propose to offer for our residents to
park are indeed municipal lots located within
300 feet of our building.

As was part of the record in the Zoning Board hearing, my client does have a written agreement with Mr. Jerry Tarpinian, an owner of Towne Service Station. And with this agreement we have a commitment from him to rent nine parking spaces which will be reserved for residents within our building, so that's nine of our deficient 19, so that leaves us with a deficiency of ten.

So to that end, when we first appeared before the Zoning Board back in August of last year, '24, my client had performed a parking survey over a period of 45 days. And what that data bared out was that in the Village owned lots that permit overnight parking with a pass and that are in proximity to our property, there is essentially an average of approximately 29 available spots overnight parking on a nightly basis. Specifically Parking Field 5, which is approximately 100

yards away there was an average of 18 available spaces per night. So with the agreement from Towne Service Station for nine and the 18 in Parking Field 5, you know, that got us right up to 27.

In addition to that initial parking survey, which covered 45 days, and at the behest of Zoning Board when we last appeared, my client performed an additional survey, parking survey, over a period of six months. I think the thinking was and good thought to have a greater sample size, to have it be outside of the summer, the back to school hours and to also evaluate daytime parking.

So I have the results of that survey, copies I know was part of the Zoning Board record, but I am happy to furnish it to the Board tonight as well.

So daytime parking survey, conducted from August through February 25th, end of February, Field 1 during the day an average of six spaces available, Field 2 an average of eight spaces available, Field 3 an average of 76 spaces available, Field 5 an average of 23 spaces available, and Field 6 an average of 28

1 spaces available. Again, that was all 2 submitted in the Zoning Board record with 3 photos time stamped. So in total an average of 141 available parking spaces during the day. 4 5 We also conducted an overnight parking survey which was conducted from August to 6 7 March 2nd. In Field 1 there was an average of ten spaces available, and in Field 5 there was 8 9 an average of 17 spaces available, so in total 10 an average of 27 available parking spaces 11 overnight. We are 19 deficient, we have an 12 agreement with Towne Service Station for nine, 13 so we are working with that ten deficiency. 14 And, finally, apparently the Board had 15 adopted or made their new public lot directly 16 across the street at the old police department 17 building, which we believe will have, I think, 18 somewhere between 15 and 18 spaces available 19 for daytime and overnight parking. 20 So all in our data shows that there is 21 an abundance -- and I am spending time with 22 this to get back to the points in your Code. 23 Our data shows there is an abundance of Village 24 parking to compensate for our 19-spot

25

deficiency.

1	We respectfully submit that the future
2	residents of our potential multi-family
3	dwelling will have more than enough parking
4	spaces to utilize, thus we don't think that
5	will cause any undue congestion on any
6	adjoining streets.
7	Furthermore, as previously mentioned,
8	we are not retail, we are not providing service
9	of any kind, we are just residents with
10	12 units, with parking kind of spread out in
11	various locations. Any increase in the number
12	of cars along Church Street would not be
13	impactful in any way or noticeable in our
14	opinion.
15	We submit that there will not be any
16	limitation of the property for police or fire
17	protection, I argue with today's building codes
18	that we will be safer.
19	Finally, we don't see any detriment to
20	the neighborhood given the abundance of local
21	parking and our agreement with the Towne
22	Service Station. Whether this multi family
23	yields 12 or 19 cars, the impact to the
24	neighborhood will be indiscernible.
25	And, again, we would submit that we are

1	a far superior use than some of the other uses
2	permitted in the Business District. And by
3	providing this service to the Village and
4	filling a need for housing, empty nesters and
5	young folks just getting started.
6	So we submit we are currently an
7	improvement to the site, currently an
8	improvement to Church Street, to the Business
9	District, and to the Village as a whole.
10	So for all those reasons we would ask
11	that your Board look favorably on our
12	application.
13	I would be happy to answer any
14	questions that you might have.
15	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Thank you very much.
16	Appreciate it.
17	I'll ask the Board if they have any
18	questions of the applicant?
19	TRUSTEE LANG: No.
20	MAYOR SULLIVAN: No questions
21	whatsoever.
22	Village Attorney?
23	MR. TRENTACOSTE: Do you mind if I
24	could just ask, the agreement for the nine
25	spaces, how long is the term of that agreement?

1	MR. ROSSI: One year with a renewal.
2	MR. WAGNER: One year with a renewal.
3	MR. TRENTACOSTE: And if this Board was
4	inclined to vote in favor of the application,
5	would your client be willing, as a condition of
6	approval, to accept that he would be required
7	to purchase 19 of the overnight parking
8	permits?
9	MR. WAGNER: Absolutely.
10	MR. TRENTACOSTE: That would be a
11	reoccurring annual
12	MR. WAGNER: Obligation.
13	MR. TRENTACOSTE: obligation?
14	MR. WAGNER: Absolutely.
15	MR. TRENTACOSTE: And that would be on
16	the owner of the property rather than the
17	individual tenants?
18	MR. WAGNER: Absolutely.
19	MAYOR SULLIVAN: My only trepidation
20	about the Towne Service Center property is,
21	number one, it already looks over parked to me;
22	number two, what happens if the property is
23	sold? So we really have to plan for longer
24	term here.
25	We did recently adopt a resolution to

construct or allow for public parking at 1

Britton Circle, which would certainly help

alleviate some parking concerns.

I myself have talked to a number of the neighbors and, number one, parking was the overriding biggest issue here. And I think that by offering overnight parking to the residents in the area, you know, not mutually exclusive to your applicant's premises, but also to other multi-family occupants in the area, that it would help alleviate some of the parking concerns in the area.

Number two is traffic. If you live in the area, or if you work in the area, as we all do here on this Board, there is a bit of a pinch point between the property located at 47 Church and Village Hall. The street's a lot wider from 47 Church in which we commonly from Malverne call it Brown Derby apartments, the street's much wider between there and Hempstead Avenue, and it provides easier passage on that section of Church.

We have been discussing as a Board, at the request of residents in the area and business owners, to help or figure out a way to

1	alleviate traffic concerns on Church,
2	specifically by widening that section of Church
3	Street, that would be between 47 Church,
4	otherwise known as Brown Derby apartments, and
5	Village Hall. We have a proposal from the
6	Village's engineer to put together design
7	services, and we have estimated costs of
8	construction for that.
9	I note that part of the Code here and
10	part of what we are being asked to do is
11	provide an acceptance of your application to
12	alleviate parking requirements. I would like
13	to ask that, as a condition, if this Board were
14	to approve the application, that the applicant
15	is or would be willing to contribute a pro rata
16	share of the street widening costs for the
17	section of roadway that I just described.
18	MR. WAGNER: Could I just chat with my
19	client for one moment?
20	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Sure.
21	Village Attorney, is that accurate?
22	MR. TRENTACOSTE: Yes, Mayor. Thank
23	you.
24	(Whereupon, there was a pause in the
25	proceedings.)

1 MR. WAGNER: Again, as a resident of 2 the Village, Mr. Rossi is happy to do his fair 3 share and contribute, I guess, pro rata, meaning everybody would share in that 5 obligation along the street, those properties that fall within that kind of zone that you 6 7 mentioned. MAYOR SULLIVAN: Yeah, I mean we 8 9 haven't gotten that far yet, it's hard to get 10 some properties that are already developed to 11 share in that cost because they've already been 12 approved. They may say that's an unfair 13 condition that is being asked of the current 14 applicant but we are looking at current 15 conditions that are there now. 16 MR. WAGNER: Sure. 17 MAYOR SULLIVAN: Any future applicants 18 that want to modify their property will be 19 asked to contribute the same share. And I 20 hypothetically, or rather more calculated-wise, 21 I have looked at it on a linear feet 22 perspective from every property owner on that 23 section of roadway. So if anyone would come 24 before us to modify their property in such a

way they would be asked to contribute for the

1	road widening project with that same pro rata
2	share of expense.
3	MR. WAGNER: Sure.
4	Mr. Mayor, I think the answer is yes.
5	I guess it would just be something that
6	obviously margins could be thin with
7	construction, and there be some type of cap.
8	You seem to be articulating quite well
9	that there would be a formula based on linear
10	feet and pro rata share so it seems as if that
11	would be something, you know, in perpetuity.
12	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Yeah, I would also add
13	that we've been pretty efficient as a Village
14	government in seeking outside grant money and
15	subsidies from other entities to help defray
16	costs, so they are certainly not set in stone,
17	but that would be a fluid conversation we would
18	have with the applicant and any other
19	subsequent applications.
20	MR. ROSSI: Can I ask a question?
21	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Yes, of course.
22	Please just identify yourself for the
23	record.
24	MR. ROSSI: I am Peter Rossi, I am the
25	applicant, 109 Church Street 109 Webster

1	Street, Malverne.
2	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Welcome, Mr. Rossi.
3	MR. ROSSI: Thank you.
4	Is the proposed widening to take place
5	on both sides of the street?
6	MAYOR SULLIVAN: It would not. It
7	would be on, let's call it, your side.
8	If you lived in Malverne a long time
9	you would know that the side of the street
10	where your proposed building, the lot that you
11	own, was primarily a commercial use, a
12	restaurant, I think a convenience store at one
13	point, a VFW, all uses that I remember.
14	I am speculating that the sidewalks
15	were constructed rather wide there, as they are
16	further down, as your attorney noted in front
17	of the ice cream shop and a couple of other
18	businesses to allow for more pedestrian
19	traffic.
20	When we were considering some of the
21	concerns of the neighbors, we took a look at
22	that one section of the street in particular,
23	and noticed that certainly there has been a
24	change of use in what commercial uses have
25	taken place in that area, certainly since I've

1	been born, and we may not need, you know, such
2	widths of sidewalks in that area any longer,
3	and it would serve the public better if we were
4	to widen the street a little bit further,
5	consistent with where the street is already
6	from 47 Church all the way down to the
7	intersection of Utterby and Hempstead.
8	We believe it's probably, and I know
9	that, you know, this is a legal hearing, and I
10	am being quoted and everything is being written
11	down by the reporter, but we believe it to be
12	anywhere between 4 and 6 feet, but we will have
13	proper survey work conducted to determine what
14	is the Village's certainly the Village's
15	right-of-way versus what the individual
16	property owners own.
17	We don't imagine or anticipate any
18	encroachment on private property on that
19	street.
20	MR. ROSSI: Yeah, I measured today the
21	sidewalk in front of our building and in front
22	of the adjacent buildings is 10 feet wide, so
23	our property line starts 10 feet from the curb
24	but, you know, if you were to go 10 feet
25	MAYOR SULLIVAN: That's not what we are

1	anticipating.
2	MR. ROSSI: The property would be right
3	on the street.
4	MAYOR SULLIVAN: We don't anticipate
5	any type of eminent domain, nor a negotiation
6	or taking of private property to do this.
7	We are just imagining widening it to be
8	consistent with the rest of the street, as I
9	described.
10	If you could think about it, it's
11	probably the width of a car.
12	MR. ROSSI: If you take 10 feet there
13	is no sidewalk.
14	MAYOR SULLIVAN: That's not what I am
15	saying.
16	MR. TRENTACOSTE: The intent is to
17	widen the street but still remain consistent
18	with having an accessible sidewalk.
19	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Yeah, 4 feet,
20	something like that.
21	MR. ROSSI: Okay, 4 feet maybe.
22	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Correct. We are not
23	there yet, but we are anticipating embarking on
24	this project due to the concerns raised by the
25	residents in the area. And, frankly, by

1	ourselves, we work here, sometimes it's it
2	causes traffic exiting the Village Hall parking
3	lot here, and also potentially safety concerns.
4	MR. ROSSI: Okay.
5	MR. WAGNER: Thank you.
6	Just questions for us to help us
7	understand. Yes, I think he answered that
8	question.
9	Also if we were so fortunate, we'd be
10	more than happy to sign off on any conditions.
11	MAYOR SULLIVAN: The flip side of it is
12	under the Code we could impose that you pay for
13	parking somewhere and we construct parking. I
14	think that I've highlighted that we've made
15	some recent decisions to increase public
16	parking in the area and that our second concern
17	raised by the community, which we are trying to
18	address is, is traffic on the street generally,
19	we think that will help alleviate that.
20	MR. WAGNER: Very good.
21	MAYOR SULLIVAN: And then I know that
22	there is members of the community here, people
23	have signed in to speak.
24	The third part of what we've heard as a

Board is not only traffic and safety concerns,

1	but a lack of enforcement in Church Street,
2	particularly in front of the commercial
3	properties.
4	Deputy Mayor Scott Edwards is the
5	police commissioner, and we've been engaged
6	with the police department to make sure that
7	they are actively enforcing the traffic and
8	parking code and rules on that section of
9	street and anywhere in the Village. And if
10	that's not being upheld to the standard that
11	you expect, we would encourage you to make it
12	known to Village Hall and/or us personally.
13	Any other members of the Board care to
14	comment on the application?
15	TRUSTEE LANG: No.
16	MR. WAGNER: Thank you for your time.
17	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Thank you, Mr. Wagner.
18	MR. WAGNER: I would just like to say
19	if possible, reserve the right to rebut
20	anything, or answer any questions that the
21	Board might have.
22	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Absolutely. We ask
23	you to sit and take detailed notes and if you
24	can answer any questions.
25	MR. WAGNER: Sure.

1	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Village Attorney, you
2	want to just repeat the forum?
3	We are going to open this up to public
4	questions.
5	MR. TRENTACOSTE: If you've signed in
6	to speak we ask you to come to the podium, give
7	your name and address, you will have
8	three minutes to share your comments or
9	questions, we ask everything be directed to the
10	Board, they will then inquire answers from the
11	applicant who will then come up and answer once
12	everyone has had an opportunity to speak.
13	MAYOR SULLIVAN: And also to add,
14	similar to our Village Board meetings, if you
15	didn't have the opportunity to sign in and
16	would like to speak, I will certainly open that
17	up to you, so long as you come forth and give
18	your name and address.
19	The first speaker Mr. Gaffney, Kevin
20	Gaffney, who is also an applicant for
21	Architectural Review Board.
22	Did you mean to speak at this hearing?
23	MR. GAFFNEY: Not for these fellows,
24	no. For myself.
25	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Alright, Kevin Brady,

1	61 Church Street.
2	MR. BRADY: Kevin Brady, 90 Church
3	Street, Malverne, New York.
4	Good evening, Mayor and members of the
5	Board. I am a resident of 90 Church Street.
6	And, no, I am not within 250 feet of
7	the radius of this application for the 12 unit
8	apartment building within 61 Church Street, I
9	feel a tremendous impact on this and all the
10	residents in this area. I strongly believe I
11	should have been notified and I wasn't.
12	I personally don't feel the need for
13	this apartment building in Malverne, especially
14	when just south of us, Lynbrook, they are
15	building 200 apartments; in West Hempstead,
16	just north of us is building an apartment
17	building with 420 apartments, both are less
18	than one mile from Malverne.
19	I have attended two Zoning Board
20	meetings regarding this application. The
21	second meeting we were told we only had a three
22	minute time limit, kind of odd I would say.
23	One of the Board members Jack Tulley
24	prior to hearing all the residents' concerns

came out and said that he was in favor of this

apartment building, not only for himself but his daughter. No one ever mentioned the price of the apartments, what they are going to be.

And he basically approved this application out in public. This in my opinion was totally unprofessional and he should have been removed from the Board and voting on this application.

I ask all of you before you vote to go back and read the minutes from the Zoning Board meeting regarding this application. An attorney for Philips International, one of the two apartment buildings on Church Street, spoke at the second meeting about the application's requirements and the items the building did not conform to the Building Code. The height of the building was higher than was allowed. I am informed now that the applicant is reducing the decorative facade on the top of the building to conform to the Code.

As per Code, a 12 unit building requires 18 and a half spots for the tenants.

The applicant has no on-site parking and only nine spaces he'll be rendering from Towne

Service Station for one year. The Zoning Board has requested a two-year agreement and asked

that the parking lot be repaved. Has a
two-year agreement been provided by Jerry?

Just on the side of 47 Church Street when they came here for the application, they also said that they had ten parking spots, we all know where their cars are. No professional traffic study was done. I ask you when you leave here tonight to look at Village Hall lot, Towne Service Station lot, see if you can find nine empty spots that could possibly be rented out.

Philips International also had a real estate expert speak and the mention of the apartment building on how Church Street would be. Besides congestion it would create, he mentioned property value in the area would go down on our homes due to the building size and the lot usage that is proposed.

A question came up on how it'd impact the fire department access around the building, God forbid a fire would occur, sewer water impact was also mentioned, just to name a few impacts the building would have on our Village. These and just a few questions that were never answered to us.

1	Also they voted three to two to approve
2	this building.
3	Before you here tonight is a
4	proposal before you tonight is a proposal
5	for a three-story, 12 unit apartment building,
6	talk about selling and moving Carbone Park and
7	where the old police department was, applying
8	for grants for this new park, widening Church
9	Street and the use of the old police department
L 0	to add additional parking is all just talk and
L1	to push this application to be approved.
L2	What is going to happen if the Village
L3	doesn't get the grant that they applied for
L 4	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Kevin, it's time.
L 5	It's three minutes. You can come back. Thank
L 6	you.
L7	And I read your 61 Church, that was the
L 8	topic, thanks for the clarification.
L 9	There is no one else that's signed in.
20	Would anyone else from the general public care
21	to speak about this application, 61 Church?
22	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
23	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Nobody.
24	Kevin, would you like to reapproach?
25	MR. BRADY: Sure. I'm almost done.

1	MAYOR SULLIVAN: We are going to give
2	the applicant a time to respond.
3	MR. BRADY: Okay.
4	MAYOR SULLIVAN: You are going into
5	other subjects that I am happy to talk about in
6	public, you know that I am. Would you like the
7	applicant to respond to the concerns that you
8	just raised first?
9	MR. BRADY: One or two more concerns
10	and then he can respond.
11	Is that fine?
12	MR. WAGNER: Sure.
13	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Does that work for
14	everyone?
15	MR. WAGNER: Of course.
16	MR. BRADY: What is going to happen if
17	the Village doesn't get the grant that they
18	applied for? Can the Village afford these
19	projects without a tax increase?
20	Let's just focus on the application
21	that is before you tonight. We all know that
22	the dance studio building was sold and the
23	current new owner is waiting in the wings to
24	see if the Village is going to approve this
25	application. Will he be next to submit the

1	application to put an apartment building on his
2	lot?
3	There's also a vacant lot next to the
4	Learning Circle, is the applicant going to
5	submit a building permit to put an apartment on
6	his lot? Where is it going to end?
7	Approximately three or four years ago
8	the owners of 34-40 Church Street wanted to
9	change the first floor stores to apartments,
10	and the Mayor and the Board at the time denied
11	it and said that they would take away from the
12	feeling and charm of Malverne.
13	I ask each and every one of you to
14	think about the application before you vote.
15	Do your own research and impact it is going to
16	have on this Village, but the few homes that it
17	will affect, we are a small group of residents
18	that this building will have a huge impact on
19	us, our homes and our families.
20	Thank you, and good night.
21	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Thank you.
22	MR. TRENTACOSTE: Mayor, if I could,
23	just before Mr. Wagner speaks.
24	Obviously the Zoning Board decision and
25	all those proceedings are left at the Zoning

1	Board and have no bearing on what this Board is
2	considering tonight in terms of any hearing or
3	recusal, that was addressed at the Zoning
4	Board.
5	MR. WAGNER: I can eliminate that point
6	with my counter.
7	Thank you, Mr. Trentacoste.
8	With respect to public notice, we
9	complied with the notices as prescribed under
10	your Code, all mailings were done, affidavits
11	and publication were provided to the clerk.
12	With respect to the Zoning Board
13	matters, that was decided by the Zoning Board
14	after two hearings, lasted several hours, and
15	Board members agreed to vote, I'd agree to
16	disagree, there was an approval.
17	I know 47 Church Street has no on-site
18	parking. You know, imitation is the highest
19	form of flattery, it's an attractive
20	three-story or is it a two-story?
21	MR. ROSSI: Two-story.
22	MR. WAGNER: Two, 12-unit multi-family
23	dwelling, and that's what we are proposing, a
24	12 multi-family dwelling that's going to comply
25	with the Village's height requirements. Like

them we don't have on-site parking, like them 1 2 our tenants will utilize, if we are fortunate 3 enough to get approval, municipal lots. And I think unlike them, my client is going to pay 4 5 for those 19 passes annually, so there's safety there even though, you know, whatever might 6 7 happen with the lot Service Station, my client is going to pay for those 19 spaces annually. 8 9 I don't know if 47 church has that obligation, 10 and probably wasn't thought of back then. 11 I can't speak to grants and other 12 projects that this Board is working on. I 13 submit the Village is in very capable hands 14 with this Board. 15 And we provided -- we didn't have a 16 traffic expert, but we had hard data that was 17 submitted that showed dates, times and photos 18 to accompany that, that were time stamped. I 19

submitted that showed dates, times and photos to accompany that, that were time stamped. I don't know if you need initials after your name to go around from lot to lot to show pictures that there are availability, that the Village's parking supply outweighs the Village's parking demand.

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, finally, I would just remind the Board, that something I started upfront with,

1	that this is the Business District, this is not
2	a residential district, this is a Business
3	District and we are a permitted use as a
4	multi-family dwelling within the Business
5	District subject to special approval from your
6	Board.
7	And I would argue that building in that
8	location is far more attractive than its
9	current condition, and provides a service to
10	the Village and is a great benefit would be
11	a great benefit to the District, to Church
12	Street and to the Village as a whole.
13	So I thank you for your time.
14	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Thank you.
15	I do want to comment. I did read the
16	Zoning case after it was determined, and I do
17	believe it's disingenuous of Philips
18	International to come forth and oppose this
19	application on the same variances that they
20	were granted, but that's my own personal
21	opinion.
22	I do want to reiterate that this is a
23	hearing on 61 Church, but with all due respect,
24	Mr. Brady, you know I never have a problem
25	talking about concerns when I feel they are

1 appropriate.

Your question about retaining Britton
Circle and moving the park across the street,
we feel that an increase in green space with
the development of that area would help
counterbalance some of the new development in
the area. We've had in our capital plan
\$100,000 to upgrade Carbone Park over the last
couple of years, and we originally had a grant
for that, we had a committee grant for that
that went away and we started putting it in our
capital plan.

We held off on committing to any improvements there, and we were getting suggestions from other people in the community that we might want to retain a little bit more real estate than we were willing to sell.

The original plan to offset costs
related to the new police department was to
sell 1 Britton Circle, we put the property out
in a public request for proposals, we only
received two proposals, both were for
multi-family development that, I believe, were
15 units or more.

We decided that after speaking with

members of the community that they didn't want a multi-family building directly adjacent to or in an area that wasn't commercially zoned, and we as a Board agreed with that, and we decided to retain the 1 Britton Circle property for, one, it already has existing parking, parking was a concern in the area which provide additional spaces; two, by putting a new park there, we'll increase the green space pretty significantly from what we have now to offset some new development in the area.

Your question about what happens if we don't get a grant? I think the public should know that when I was running for Mayor, I pitched my credentials, one, I am a developer, I would like to disclose that, but, two, through my years of being a Trustee I've learned a lot about municipal finance, and I think we as a Board have been very efficient in getting outside funding sources to help us out with different projects that we feel add to the attractiveness of the community.

So in the case, you are correct, we do have a grant application out there to help fund a new park at 1 Britton Circle. What happens

if we don't get that grant? There is many
different grant programs out there that could
help us fund a new park there.

We also envisioned a private fund raising campaign as well, potentially with The Mothers of Malverne, which is how Carbone Park was originally financed after the Carbone family donated the parcel to the Village. My mother was part of that fund raising campaign with Trustee Hunt's and Trustee Lang's mother, the former Mayor of Malverne. So there are many ways to get things done that we feel will enhance the community.

Separately speaking, if this application were to be approved, we took, you know, some of the thoughts of the Board are when we bought and purchased 1 Arlington for our new police building, we took a pretty significant tax generator off line that is no longer there. By selling an asset, by this applicant developing, we'll have higher rateables for the Village. If there is no grant whatsoever, by having higher rateables, properties that are generating tax revenue for the Village, we will have the ability to

1	potentially borrow. And this isn't on the
2	table right now, I just am trying to answer
3	your question. Potential to borrow money where
4	the increase in tax revenue will offset any
5	debt service and wouldn't necessarily have to
6	lead to any tax increases to fund the park.
7	There's many different ways to look at
8	it, and I just wanted to make sure I was
9	answering your question appropriately.
10	Anyone else from the Board?
11	TRUSTEE SCHUTTA: No.
12	MR. TRENTACOSTE: Mr. Wagner, anything
13	else?
14	MR. WAGNER: No.
15	Thank you for your time. And, again,
16	we would ask that this Board look favorably on
17	our application and any questions I'm happy to
18	answer. If not, thank you for your time.
19	MAYOR SULLIVAN: I believe at this time
20	we are prepared to vote on the applicant.
21	Can I have a motion one way or the
22	other?
23	DEPUTY MAYOR EDWARDS: I make a motion
24	to approve the application change in use.
25	MAYOR SULLIVAN: And I would add to it

1	subject to the
2	MR. TRENTACOSTE: Identify the two
3	conditions would be that the annual 19 parking
4	passes for overnight parking be included as a
5	condition of approval, as well as the pro rata
6	share of linear feet which is the street
7	project.
8	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Parking may be
9	renewable annually at whatever set cost it is
10	by the Village Clerk.
11	Can I have a second to approve with
12	those conditions?
13	TRUSTEE PRIZZI: Second.
14	MAYOR SULLIVAN: We will take a vote.
15	Trustee Lang?
16	TRUSTEE LANG: Yes.
17	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Deputy Mayor?
18	DEPUTY MAYOR EDWARDS: Yes.
19	MAYOR SULLIVAN: I vote yes.
20	Trustee Prizzi?
21	TRUSTEE PRIZZI: Yes.
22	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Trustee Schutta?
23	TRUSTEE SCHUTTA: Yes.
24	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Passed.
25	Congratulations! It passes.

Malverne H	Properties	LLC,	61	Church	Street	_	June	18,	2025
------------	------------	------	----	--------	--------	---	------	-----	------

1		MR. WAGNER:	Thank y	70u.	
2		MAYOR SULLI	IVAN: All	the best.	We'll
3	see you	at the Arch	nitectural	Review Boa	rd.
4		MR. WAGNER:	Thank y	you for your	time.
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	MAYOR SULLIVAN: We only have one other
2	application for this hearing. The next
3	application is 44 Nassau Boulevard.
4	If you want to give them some time to
5	exit, I'll leave it up to you.
6	MR. GAFFNEY: Good evening, everybody.
7	MAYOR SULLIVAN: How are you?
8	MR. GAFFNEY: Good, good.
9	My name is Kevin Gaffney, 44 Nassau
10	Boulevard.
11	Mr. Mayor, Trustees, hi.
12	The application for the second floor
13	kitchen is for my wife's aunt. We are going to
14	be taking her in from Rockville Centre, her
15	sister had passed just about a year ago and she
16	was the bread winner in the house, so we are
17	going to take her in because she can't afford
18	to live on her own, so we need a full kitchen
19	upstairs is the reason for the application for
20	a kitchen on the second floor.
21	So that's basically it.
22	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Well, sorry about the
23	family's loss.
24	MR. GAFFNEY: Thank you.
25	MAYOR SULLIVAN: That's unfortunate to

1	hear.
2	MR. GAFFNEY: Yeah.
3	MAYOR SULLIVAN: And we obviously have
4	these exceptions for when they occur.
5	I know you are coming back for
6	Architectural Review Board which will
7	immediately follow this.
8	MR. GAFFNEY: Yeah.
9	MAYOR SULLIVAN: There are a number of
10	conditions that we impose for the legalization,
11	which I hope you've been made aware of. If not
12	we could go over them now.
13	MR. GAFFNEY: Sure.
14	MR. TRENTACOSTE: So these would be the
15	conditions for approval that if it's granted,
16	the floor plan would be submitted to the
17	Building Department along with building,
18	plumbing, electrical permit applications, any
19	associated fees, that there be a proper
20	inspection of the building for plumbing and
21	electric as well for the additional kitchen,
22	which must be done prior to the Building
23	Department issuing a certificate of completion.
24	This mother/daughter status will end
25	with the change in any occupancy or transfer of

1	ownership, whichever occurs first. That you
2	would consent to yearly inspections of the
3	premises after completing your annual renewal
4	application, and the payment of the annual
5	renewal fee.
6	And then finally that a declaration of
7	restrictive covenant in the proper form be
8	recorded at the Office of the County Clerk for
9	Nassau County, subject to the approval of
10	Village Attorney, which would be submitted
11	within 30 days of the approval by the Board of
12	Trustees. And then any appropriate fees for
13	the recording would be borne by you as the
14	applicant.
15	MR. GAFFNEY: Okay.
16	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Are those terms
17	acceptable to you?
18	MR. GAFFNEY: Yes, those are fine.
19	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Any questions from the
20	Board about the application for 44 Nassau
21	Boulevard?
22	DEPUTY MAYOR EDWARDS: Just a question
23	for me. The access to the second floor will be
24	through the front door?
25	MR. GAFFNEY: Correct.

1	MAYOR SULLIVAN: You can ask that
2	during ARB too.
3	Anyone from the public?
4	TRUSTEE PRIZZI: I just have a
5	question. So there is an elevator to be
6	installed?
7	MR. GAFFNEY: Yes.
8	TRUSTEE PRIZZI: Is it going to block
9	the window view?
10	MR. GAFFNEY: No, actually it's between
11	the front door and the window, that if you
12	can see are you looking at the house?
13	TRUSTEE PRIZZI: On the second floor?
14	MR. GAFFNEY: Going to be coming up
15	into the living room on the second floor.
16	MAYOR SULLIVAN: If you wouldn't mind,
17	can we reserve that for the Architectural
18	Review Board? Just because the reporter is
19	writing down every word we say. Is that okay?
20	TRUSTEE PRIZZI: Yes.
21	MAYOR SULLIVAN: My apologies.
22	Anyone else from the Board?
23	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
24	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Anyone from the
25	general public care to address the current

1	application for Special Use at 44 Nassau
2	Boulevard?
3	Yes, sir.
4	Kevin, sorry, we have someone from the
5	public.
6	Please state your name and address
7	please.
8	MR. SNYDER: Good evening, everyone,
9	Mr. Mayor, Board.
10	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Good evening.
11	MR. SNYDER: My name is Rich Snyder,
12	I'm a resident of Lynbrook, not Malverne.
13	I am over, what was described last
14	week, over the side of the border wall. We
15	have an issue with Mr. Gaffney on our side of
16	the wall, on our side of the property. Not so
17	much for his use of what he is looking to do,
18	but as he is using the property presently.
19	He constantly has excessive noise from
20	a band that we kind of can't we can't prove
21	anything, but we believe he has a band that
22	maybe he hires out and he's maybe using the
23	property as a business for practice.
24	The volume at which he plays his music
25	is ridiculous that I could not have a

Gaffney,	44	Nassau	Boulevard	_	June	18,	2025
----------	----	--------	-----------	---	------	-----	------

1	conversation with you in my backyard 100 feet
2	away.
3	Probably in the wrong place to be
4	discussing this right now, but I have called
5	the cops, I have spoken with Mr. Gaffney
6	MAYOR SULLIVAN: I was going to bring
7	it up, but I like being respectful to anyone
8	who enters our Village and wants to participate
9	in the democratic process.
10	MR. SNYDER: I did speak with
11	Mr. Gaffney directly about two years ago to
12	absolutely no avail. I called the police
13	department in Lynbrook, they wouldn't respond
14	because he is in Malverne, when I called your
15	police department they wouldn't take a
16	complaint from me because I am in Lynbrook.
17	So when you speak about liberty and
18	justice for all, where is ours on the other
19	side of the property line is my question.
20	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Your answer is this
21	Board adopted a pretty specific and
22	comprehensive noise ordinance over this past

year. The police department has been equipped

sure that if noise complaints are made known to

with equipment, no pun intended, that makes

23

24

25

1	them that they can enforce the law as
2	prescribed by the Board.
3	I don't want to comment on who should
4	be responding, but we will make sure that it's
5	addressed on our end.
6	MR. SNYDER: With regards to the
7	equipment, the decibel meters and the sort?
8	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Correct.
9	MR. SNYDER: I made five or six
10	attempts to contact Mr. Gaffney before I was
11	able to catch him the first time.
12	And I was granted a courtesy, if you
13	will, by Lynbrook, that the first time that I
14	called they sent three squad cars, I guess it
15	was a slow day in Lynbrook, it was very quiet
16	that day, but they said they would go and talk
17	to him. By the time they got there the music
18	had stopped already.
19	And the times that I have gone around
20	to go around the corner because I have to go
21	out from Heart Street out to Lakeview to
22	Rolling to Nassau, it's probably better than a
23	quarter of a mile walk to go around, that would
24	be from here

MAYOR SULLIVAN: Yeah, I am familiar

25

1	with where you live.
2	I believe we have a good working
3	relationship, the Village police department
4	with the Incorporated Village of Lynbrook's
5	police department.
6	I just want to reiterate again, we have
7	a noise code, and if the applicant is found to
8	be in violation of that Code, whatever the
9	penalties that are prescribed will be enforced.
10	MR. SNYDER: Would you by any chance
11	know what the decibel level is?
12	MAYOR SULLIVAN: I do not.
13	MR. SNYDER: Would it be in your
14	MAYOR SULLIVAN: We could talk about it
15	off line. I just want to keep this as specific
16	to the hearing as possible.
17	MR. GAFFNEY: It's in the by-laws.
18	MR. SNYDER: I appreciate your time.
19	MAYOR SULLIVAN: A member of the
20	general public just said something. If you do,
21	let's say, Google it, and I am not trying to
22	promote one search engine over another, for the
23	record, but you should be able to find it
24	pretty quickly.
25	The Village subscribes to a system

1	called e-Code, which many municipals do, and
2	you will find the exact language within, you
3	know, that system that will give you the
4	decibel levels and the times of days and
5	different days, et cetera, et cetera.
6	MR. SNYDER: I will do my research.
7	MAYOR SULLIVAN: I promise to you it
8	was the intent of this Board to put that Code
9	into law to better regulate complaints that
10	we've heard from our own residents.
11	MR. SNYDER: I thank you for your time.
12	I hope we can all have a peaceful summer.
13	Thank you.
14	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Thank you.
15	Yes, sir.
16	MR. MATTSON: My name is Arthur
17	Mattson, I live at 28 Heart Street in Lynbrook.
18	I live at a further distance than the previous
19	resident.
20	So the sound to me, as you would
21	imagine, would be a lot less, I must be at the
22	very edge of the 250 feet or whatever.
23	I'm pleased to hear the fact that the
24	applicant is doing this for his parents or his
25	mother, whatever, his parent, and has an

1	elevator inside, so it's probably not a
2	conversion to a two family which is great, it
3	will be a two story.
4	And I am wondering where you measure
5	your decibels from?
6	MAYOR SULLIVAN: From
7	MR. MATTSON: From the street?
8	MAYOR SULLIVAN: It's from the property
9	line.
10	MR. TRENTACOSTE: Mayor, if I could?
11	Only because this is a hearing dealing with
12	special use, it's a mother/daughter. If you
13	have any comments with respect to that
14	application?
15	MR. MATTSON: I do.
16	MR. TRENTACOSTE: Not with decibels.
17	MR. MATTSON: I do. Give me a chance.
18	We will have a second story now, which
19	will create a very nice sounding board, because
20	it's a garage band, it's not coming from his
21	house, it's coming from his garage, it's in the
22	very back of his property because the garage is
23	at the extreme back of the property. And so
24	that's why the neighbors who are even a full
25	I am on the opposite side of the street and a

1	few houses away, and yet the noise bothers me
2	at my house with a second story sounding board,
3	now it becomes all the worse.
4	Can you measure if I were to ask the
5	Lynbrook people, police department to do
6	decibels, they have no jurisdiction in
7	Malverne, and yet the sound they will hear is
8	much greater.
9	MR. TRENTACOSTE: Just as the Mayor
10	indicated, the Village has a sound code, and if
11	anyone is in violation of the sound code it
12	will be in force within the Village.
13	MR. MATTSON: Where will it be measured
14	from?
15	MAYOR SULLIVAN: I believe it's from
16	the property line.
17	MR. MATTSON: Which means the street.
18	MAYOR SULLIVAN: It's in the Code.
19	MR. MATTSON: In Malverne.
20	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Not necessarily the
21	street. There is differences.
22	MR. MATTSON: So they can measure in
23	Lynbrook?
24	MR. TRENTACOSTE: We can't speak to
25	where it's going to happen. I can tell you if

1	there is anyone in violation of the sound
2	ordinance, it is enforced within the Village of
3	Malverne, absolutely.
4	Thank you.
5	MR. MATTSON: I am not sure it helps
6	me, but, yeah.
7	MAYOR SULLIVAN: We do appreciate you
8	bringing up your concern.
9	Anyone else from the general public to
10	address the 44 Nassau Boulevard application?
11	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
12	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Anyone else from the
13	Board?
14	TRUSTEE SCHUTTA: No.
15	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Can I have a motion to
16	approve or deny the current application?
17	TRUSTEE PRIZZI: Mayor, I make a motion
18	to approve.
19	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Can I have a second?
20	DEPUTY MAYOR EDWARDS: Second.
21	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Trustee Lang?
22	TRUSTEE LANG: Yes.
23	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Deputy Mayor Edwards?
24	DEPUTY MAYOR EDWARDS: Yes.
25	MAYOR SULLIVAN: I vote yes.

1	Trustee Prizzi?
2	TRUSTEE PRIZZI: Yes.
3	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Trustee Schutta?
4	TRUSTEE SCHUTTA: Yes.
5	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you.
6	If there is no further business before
7	the Board, can I have a motion to conclude this
8	special exemption hearing?
9	DEPUTY MAYOR EDWARDS: Motion to close.
10	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Can I have a second?
11	TRUSTEE LANG: Second.
12	MAYOR SULLIVAN: All in favor?
13	(Chorus of ayes.)
14	MAYOR SULLIVAN: Thank you very much.
15	(Whereupon, the Public Hearing was
16	concluded and stood adjourned.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Mary Kate Waldron, Court Reporter, within
4	and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that I
5	have reported the proceedings, that it is a true and
6	accurate transcription of my stenographic notes.
7	I further certify that I am not related to
8	any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage,
9	and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
10	this matter.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
12	hand this 30th day of June, 2025.
13	
14	
15	
16	MARY KATE WALDRON
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	